



Text Record Type Definition

Technical Specification

NFC Forum™

RTD-Text 1.0

NFCForum-TS-RTD_Text_1.0

2006-07-24

RESTRICTIONS ON USE

This specification is copyright © 2005-2006 by the NFC Forum, and was made available pursuant to a license agreement entered into between the recipient (Licensee) and NFC Forum, Inc. (Licensor) and may be used only by Licensee, and in compliance with the terms of that license agreement (License). If you are not the Licensee, you are not authorized to make any use of this specification. However, you may obtain a copy at the following page of Licensor's Website: http://www.nfc-forum.org/resources/spec_license after entering into and agreeing to such license terms as Licensor is then requiring. On the date that this specification was downloaded by Licensee, those terms were as follows:

1. LICENSE GRANT.

Licensor hereby grants Licensee the right, without charge, to copy (for internal purposes only) and share the Specification with Licensee's members, employees and consultants (as appropriate). This license grant does not include the right to sublicense, modify or create derivative works based upon the Specification.

2. NO WARRANTIES.

THE SPECIFICATION IS PROVIDED "AS IS", WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, ACCURACY, COMPLETENESS AND NONINFRINGEMENT OF THIRD PARTY RIGHTS. IN NO EVENT SHALL LICENSOR, ITS MEMBERS OR ITS CONTRIBUTORS BE LIABLE FOR ANY CLAIM, OR ANY DIRECT, SPECIAL, INDIRECT OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES, OR ANY DAMAGES WHATSOEVER RESULTING FROM LOSS OF USE, DATA OR PROFITS, WHETHER IN AN ACTION OF CONTRACT, NEGLIGENCE OR OTHER TORTIOUS ACTION, ARISING OUT OF OR IN CONNECTION WITH THE USE OR PERFORMANCE OF THE SPECIFICATION.

3. THIRD PARTY RIGHTS.

Without limiting the generality of Section 2 above, LICENSOR ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY TO COMPILE, CONFIRM, UPDATE OR MAKE PUBLIC ANY THIRD PARTY ASSERTIONS OF PATENT OR OTHER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS THAT MIGHT NOW OR IN THE FUTURE BE INFRINGED BY AN IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SPECIFICATION IN ITS CURRENT, OR IN ANY FUTURE FORM. IF ANY SUCH RIGHTS ARE DESCRIBED ON THE SPECIFICATION, LICENSOR TAKES NO POSITION AS TO THE VALIDITY OR INVALIDITY OF SUCH ASSERTIONS, OR THAT ALL SUCH ASSERTIONS THAT HAVE OR MAY BE MADE ARE SO LISTED.

4. TERMINATION OF LICENSE.

In the event of a breach of this Agreement by Licensee or any of its employees or members, Licensor shall give Licensee written notice and an opportunity to cure. If the breach is not cured within thirty (30) days after written notice, or if the breach is of a nature that cannot be cured, then Licensor may immediately or thereafter terminate the licenses granted in this Agreement.

5. MISCELLANEOUS.

All notices required under this Agreement shall be in writing, and shall be deemed effective five days from deposit in the mails. Notices and correspondence to the NFC Forum address as it appears below. This Agreement shall be construed and interpreted under the internal laws of the United States and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, without giving effect to its principles of conflict of law.

NFC Forum, Inc.
401 Edgewater Place, Suite 600
Wakefield, MA, USA 01880

Contents

1 Overview	1
1.1 Objectives	1
1.2 Purpose	1
1.2.1 Mission Statement and Goals	1
1.3 References	1
1.4 Administration	1
1.5 Special Word Usage	2
1.6 Name and Logo Usage	2
1.7 Intellectual Property	2
1.8 Acronyms	2
2 Text Record.....	3
2.1 Introduction	3
2.2 Dependencies.....	3
2.3 Security Considerations.....	3
3 NDEF structure	4
3.1 Messaging Sequence	4
3.2 Records Mapping	4
3.2.1 Syntax.....	4
3.2.2 Structure	5
3.3 Language Codes	5
3.4 UTF-16 Byte Order	5
A. Example UTF-8 Encoding	6
B. Revision History	7

Tables

Table 1. Acronyms	2
Table 2. Text Record Content Syntax	4
Table 3. Status Byte Encodings.....	4
Table 4. Example: “Hello, world!”	6
Table 5. Revision History.....	7

1 Overview

The Text Record Type Description defines an NFC Forum Well Known Type [NFC RTD] for plain text data. It may be used as free form text descriptions of other objects on an RFID tag.

1.1 Objectives

The objective of this document is to function as a normative reference to the Text RTD.

1.2 Purpose

1.2.1 Mission Statement and Goals

The Text RTD was designed to be used as a general purpose text field to add metadata to things such as URLs. It needs to provide a lightweight component with clearly defined semantics.

The goal is not to replace text/plain, but to define a clear subset that can be used in cases where there is not much space to be used, and to cover the most probable use cases.

The Text RTD must work well for non-western languages also, and it needs to include the language information for localization purposes so that the language can be identified and served to the user.

1.3 References

- | | |
|---------------|--|
| [NDEF] | “NFC Data Exchange Format Specification”, NFC Forum, 2006. |
| [NFC RTD] | “NFC Record Type Definition (RTD) Specification”, NFC Forum, 2006. |
| [RFC 2119] | S. Bradner, “Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels”, RFC 2119, Harvard University, March 1997.
http://www.apps.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.html |
| [RFC 3066] | H. Alvestrand, “Tags for the Identification of Languages”, RFC 3066, Cisco Systems, January 2001. http://www.faqs.org/rfcs/rfc3066.html |
| [RFC 3066bis] | A. Phillips, M. Davis, “Tags for the Identification of Languages”. IETF Draft. http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-ietf-ltru-registry-14.txt |
| [UNICODE] | “The Unicode 4.0.1 standard”.
http://www.unicode.org/versions/Unicode4.0.1/ |

1.4 Administration

The Text RTD Specification is an open specification supported by the Near Field Communication Forum, Inc., located at:

401 Edgewater Place, Suite 600
Wakefield, MA, 01880

Tel.: +1 781-876-8955

Fax: +1 781-224-1239

<http://www.nfc-forum.org>

The Reference Applications Framework technical working group maintains this specification.

1.5 Special Word Usage

The key words “MUST”, “MUST NOT”, “REQUIRED”, “SHALL”, “SHALL NOT”, “SHOULD”, “SHOULD NOT”, “RECOMMENDED”, “MAY”, and “OPTIONAL” in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119.

1.6 Name and Logo Usage

The Near Field Communication Forum’s policy regarding the use of the trademarks *NFC Forum* and the NFC Forum logo is as follows:

- Any company MAY claim compatibility with NFC Forum specifications, whether a member of the NFC Forum or not.
- Permission to use the NFC Forum logos is automatically granted to designated members only as stipulated on the most recent Membership Privileges document, during the period of time for which their membership dues are paid.
- Member’s distributors and sales representatives MAY use the NFC Forum logo in promoting member’s products sold under the name of the member.
- The logo SHALL be printed in black or in color as illustrated on the Logo Page that is available from the NFC Forum at the address above. The aspect ratio of the logo SHALL be maintained, but the size MAY be varied. Nothing MAY be added to or deleted from the logos.
- Since the NFC Forum name is a trademark of the Near Field Communication Forum, the following statement SHALL be included in all published literature and advertising material in which the name or logo appears:

NFC Forum and the NFC Forum logo are trademarks of the Near Field Communication Forum.

1.7 Intellectual Property

The Text RTD Specification conforms to the Intellectual Property guidelines specified in the NFC Forum's Intellectual Property Right Policy, as approved on November 9, 2004 and outlined in the NFC Forum Rules of Procedures, as approved on December 17, 2004.

1.8 Acronyms

This table defines all relevant terms and acronyms used in this specification.

Table 1. Acronyms

Acronyms	Definition
LSB	Least Significant Bit
NDEF	NFC Data Exchange Format
RFU	Reserved for Future Use
RTD	Record Type Description
URI	Uniform Resource Identifier
URL	Uniform Resource Locator (this is a special case of an URI)

2 Text Record

2.1 Introduction

The “Text” record contains freeform plain text. It can be used to describe a service or the contents of the tag, for example.

The Text record *MAY* appear as a sole record in an NDEF message [NDEF], but in this case the behavior is undefined and left to the application to handle. Typically, the Text record should be used in conjunction with other records to provide explanatory text.

2.2 Dependencies

There are no dependencies for the Text element.

2.3 Security Considerations

It is possible to write different text on the Text record than what the tag actually does, and thus spoof the user into doing something else than what he actually wanted (i.e., *phishing*). Thus it is a good idea for the user interface to use the Text field only as an informative field.

3 NDEF structure

3.1 Messaging Sequence

There is no particular messaging sequence available for this RTD.

3.2 Records Mapping

3.2.1 Syntax

The NFC Forum Well Known Type [NDEF], [NFC RTD] for the Text record is “T” (in NFC binary encoding: 0x54).

The data content is as follows:

Table 2. Text Record Content Syntax

Offset (bytes)	Length (bytes)	Content
0	1	Status byte. See Table 3.
1	<n>	ISO/IANA language code. Examples: “fi”, “en-US”, “fr-CA”, “jp”. The encoding is US-ASCII.
n+1	<m>	The actual text. Encoding is either UTF-8 or UTF-16, depending on the status bit.

The Status bit encodings are as described in Table 3. Any value marked RFU SHALL be ignored, and any software writing these bits SHALL use the value zero for these bits.

Table 3. Status Byte Encodings

Bit number (0 is LSB)	Content
7	0: The text is encoded in UTF-8 1: The text is encoded in UTF16
6	RFU (MUST be set to zero)
5..0	The length of the IANA language code.

The contents of the text field MAY be shown to the user. If multiple 'T' records exist, the one with the closest matching language to the user preference SHOULD be displayed. To have multiple text elements within a single application, context with the same language code SHOULD be considered an error.

Control characters (0x00-0x1F in UTF-8) should be removed prior to display, except for newline, line feed (0x0D, 0x0A) and tab (0x08) characters. Markup MUST NOT be embedded (please use the “text/xhtml” or other suitable MIME types). The Text record should be considered to be equal to the MIME type “text/plain; format=fixed”.

Line breaks in the text MUST be represented using the CRLF (so-called DOS convention, the sequence 0x0D,0x0A in UTF-8). The device may deal with the tab character as it wishes.

White space other than newline and tab SHOULD be collapsed, i.e., multiple space characters are to be considered a single space character.

To find the length of the actual text in bytes, you calculate the length via “m=(length of the payload – length of the IANA language code – 1)”

3.2.2 Structure

If the Text record describes an element, it SHOULD occur in the NDEF record list before the element it is describing. This makes it faster to find and display to the user if the element is very large.

3.3 Language Codes

All language codes MUST be done according to RFC 3066 [RFC3066]. The language code MAY NOT be omitted.

The language code length is encoded in the six least significant bits of the status byte. Thus it is easy to find by masking the status byte with the value 0x3F.

The language code is typically either two characters or five characters, though in the future, it is likely that it will be possible to have longer codes. At this time, IETF is considering an extension to RFC 3066 which will cover language codes up to 33 bytes in length [RFC 3066bis]. The two-character version disregards any dialects, and thus is used most often; for example, “fi” for Finnish, “jp” for Japanese, “fr” for French. However, in some cases you might want to differentiate between variants of the same language, such as providing US-English and British English versions via “en-US” and “en-UK” respectively.

3.4 UTF-16 Byte Order

The Unicode Byte-Order-Mark (BOM) in the actual string MUST be tolerated (i.e. no error condition). When generating a Text record, the BOM MAY be omitted. If the BOM is omitted, the byte order shall be big-endian (UTF-16 BE).

A. Example UTF-8 Encoding

Here's an example on how the English phrase "Hello, world!" would be encoded in UTF-8:

Table 4. Example: "Hello, world!"

Offset	Content	Explanation	Syntactical info
0	N/A	IL flag = 0 (no ID field), SF=1 (Short format)	NDEF record header
1	0x01	Length of the record name	
2	0x10	The length of the payload data (16 bytes)	
3	"T"	The binary encoding of the name, as defined in [1]	Payload
4	0x02	Status byte: This is UTF-8, and has a two-byte language code	
5	"en"	"en" is the ISO code for "English"	
7	"Hello, world!"	UTF-8 string "Hello, world!"	The actual body text.

B. Revision History

The following table outlines the revision history of the Text RTD Technical Specification.

Table 5. Revision History

Document Name	Revision and Release Date	Status	Change Notice	Supersedes
NFCForum-TS-RTD_Text_1.0	1.0, July 2006		None	First Revision